	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/561,915	CLEMENT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE	3733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeremy Mereness</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 February 2010	Time: 2 pm	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	DAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	e Discrissed.
See Continuation Sheet	RALINATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Jan Christopher Merene/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant to clarify the claims in particular the sliding of the nut and the flexible structure of the extension piece. Unfortunately, the application is not in condition for allowanc. After secondary consultation with other examiner's, the examiner indicated that there was still art that read on the current invention. The examiner indicated that upon receipt of the office action, the applicant is welcomed to contact the examiner to discuss any outstanding issues.